

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2888 OF 2017

Krushna Dnyandev Mhaske .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Ravindra Nirmal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri M. B. Bharaswadkar, A. G. P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 23RD MARCH, 2017.

PER COURT :

. The petitioner assails the order passed by the District Supply Officer thereby revoking the order cancelling the license of the respondent No. 3.

2. The learned counsel submits that, enquiry needs to be directed against the respondent Nos. 2 and 3, as majority of the card holders have deposed against the respondent No. 3, still the license of the respondent No. 3 is restored.

3. The learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that, the petitioner is having alternate remedy in law.

4. If an order is passed by exercising *quasi judicial* powers, it would not be necessary to direct an enquiry.

5. The petitioner may assail the order passed as may be permissible in law. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/March 17