IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 8875 OF 2017

Sunita Shripad Band Petitioner...

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. **Respondents...**

.....

Mr Ajay G. Talhar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr V. S. Badak, AGP for respondent/State

.....

CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
A. M. DHAVALE, JJ.
DATE : 19TH JULY, 2017.

ORDER:-

. There is no policy framed by the State directing enhancement in retirement age of the employees except Medical Officers functioning in the Health Department, and as such request made by the petitioner in the instant petition does not deserve consideration. Apart from this, the earlier petition presented by the petitioner being Writ Petition No. 1124 of 2016 was permitted to be withdrawn without liberty and as such, the instant petition is not entertainable. In view of above, writ petition stands rejected.

[A. M. DHAVALE]
JUDGE

[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE

sgp