

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8307 OF 2016

Chandrashekhar S/o Pandharinath Dhoble & others Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh s/o Gopalrao Chole & others Respondents

Mr.A.P. Yenegure advocate for the petitioner

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J**

(Date : 10th July, 2017.)

PER COURT :-

1 This matter was heard for some time.

2 It is apparent that, the Trial Court has accepted those LR's to be brought on record, who are mentioned in Application Exh.89 in MACP No.102/2006, by the impugned order dated 8.10.2015.

3 The claim of the petitioners is that, besides the eight LR's brought on record, there are some more LR's, who have been left out. The petitioners had submitted their say at Exh.142 to the application Exhibit 89, without mentioning the names and specifications as regards those LR's who have been left out. So also an objection has been taken as against LR No.6.

4 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would prefer a proper application mentioning the details as regards the names, identity and the relationship of all such persons who amount to LR's of the deceased. He, therefore, prays for leave to withdraw this petition.

5 This petition, is, therefore disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file a proper application within two weeks from today, mentioning the details as regards names, addresses and relations of such persons, who according to the petitioners would be the LR's of the deceased owner. Needless to state, if such an application is filed within two weeks, the trial Court may consider the same on its own merit. If such application is not filed within two weeks, that would amount to the petitioners having acquiesced of their right to file such application.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE , J)