

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13 OF 2017

Sow. Poonam Dinesh Rathod,
age 24 years occupation household
R/o C/o Shri Ratan Chavan,
Boble Hanuman, Pivali Taki, Taluka and Dist. Osmanabad.
...APPLICANT.

VERSUS

Dinesh s/o Laxman Rathod,
age 29 years occupation service
R/o Rohit Colony, Shukla Compound, Bhimnagar,
Boisar Taluka and Dist. Palghar.
...RESPONDENT.

Mr V.B. Deshmukh, Advocate for applicant.
Mr B.V. Thombre, Advocate for respondent sole.

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 7th July, 2017

ORAL ORDER

Prayer for transfer of H.M.P. 50 of 2016 is opposed on the ground that the decree for restitution of conjugal rights is not honoured by the respondent and attempts on the part of respondent for restitution are also not responded positively.

(2)

2. The said objections, in my opinion, are not germane to the facts for considering the prayer of transfer of the matter. As such, said objections are over ruled.

3. Application stands allowed in terms of prayer Clause “B”

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

pjm