

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2314 OF 2017

Shri Sundardas s/o Parmanand Madhani

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

Gopal s/o Bansilal Agrawal
& another

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. Raj Devdhe, advocate holding for Mr. S.P. Brahme, advocate for petitioner.

=====

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATE : 20th FEBRUARY, 2017.

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Perused the impugned order dated 23rd December, 2016, as well as the application filed for amendment of the pleadings. The application itself shows that now, the plaintiff seeks to bring on record additional ground for seeking possession, which has been provided by the subsequent developments, as submitted by plaintiff. Therefore, the impugned order allowing amendment to the pleadings to the extent necessary to determine the real controversy between the parties, cannot be said to be perverse or patently illegal. Petition stands dismissed summarily.

**(S. B. SHUKRE)
JUDGE**