

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1177 OF 2000

PRINCIPAL, JAMKHED MAHAVIDYALAYA JAMKHED & ANR
VERSUS
DILEEP YASHAWANTRAO KALE AND ORS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Shri S.V.Natu.

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Shri P.P.Karegaonkar h/f Shri Pradeep
Deshmukh.

AGP for Respondents 2 and 3 : Shri N.T.Bhagat.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 11th May, 2017

Per Court:

1 The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners and the contesting Respondent No.1 submit that the consent terms dated 05.11.2003 were filed on record with due verification and identification by the respective counsel and the matter has been settled between the parties. Respondent No.1 has been reinstated in service and the Petitioners have already acted on the said consent terms. It is further submitted that inadvertently the petition is kept pending.

2 In the light of the above, the consent terms are marked as Exhibit X for identification.

3 Considering the statement of the learned Advocates and in the light of Exhibit X, this Writ Petition stands disposed of in terms of the consent terms (Exhibit X). By consent, the impugned judgment of the Tribunal, therefore, stands modified as per the consent terms (Exhibit X). Rule is discharged. Pending Civil Application, if any, stands disposed of.

kps

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)