

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CRMP No. 266 of 2017

1. Keshav Sahu S/o Shri Lalta Prasad Sahu Aged About 58 Years R/o B.T.I. Colony, Pendra, Thana Pendra, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Smt. Kusumlata Sahu W/o Shri Keshav Sahu Aged About 51 Years R/o B T I Colony, Pendra, Thana Pendra, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Akash Sahu S/o Keshav Sahu Aged About 32 Years R/o B T I Colony, Pendra, Thana Pendra, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioners**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Pendra, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Nilam Sahu D/o Gulab Chand Sahu Aged About 31 Years R/o 67/68, B-Baluaghat, Uditganj Ki Mandi, Katghar, Illahabad, District Illahabad, Uttar Pradesh.

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Shri Yogendra Chaturvedi, Advocate
For State	:	Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Dy. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

01.03.2017

1. The present Cr.M.P. has been filed by the petitioners seeking for a modification of the order dated 16.01.2017 passed in Cr.M.P. No. 1047/2015.
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the order dated 16.01.2017 passed in Cr.M.P. No. 1047 of 2015 needs a modification to the extent that the amount of Rs.14 Lakh which has been paid by the petitioner to Respondent No.2 should be so invested so that the amount can be released for the betterment of the child born to the Respondent No.2 from the Petitioner No.3.
3. The contention and apprehension raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is totally misconceived and unsustainable for the reason that para 7 of the said judgment clearly stipulates that Respondent No.2

before the Court clearly undertakes that she shall be opening an account in Sukanya Samriddhi Scheme for the betterment of the child and she further undertakes that the interest accrued from the said amount which has been paid by the Petitioners to the Respondents No.2 shall be used only for betterment of the child and the said Scheme would be permitted to live its normal maturity life. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground for modification of the said observation made in Cr.M.P. No. 1047 of 2015 and the present Cr.M.P. is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE