

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CONT No. 32 of 2017

Krishna Lal Netam S/o Shri B.R.Netam, Aged About 45 Years Presently Working As Sub Engineer, Kanker, R/o In Front Of Sai Mangalam, Vidyut Nagar, Durg, Police Station- Pulgaon, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri G. S. Mishra Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Shri H.R. Kutare, Engineer In Chief, Water Resources Department, Sihava Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh(Contemnors)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate
For Respondent No. 1	:	Shri J.P. Shukla, Advocate

And

MCC No. 308 Of 2017

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Water Resources, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Engineer-In-Chief, Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Executive Engineer, Water Resources Division, Kanker District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Vs

Krishna Lal Netam S/o Shri B.R.Netam, Aged About 45 Years Presently Working As Sub Engineer, Kanker, R/o In Front Of Sai Mangalam, Vidyut Nagar, Durg, P.S. Pulgaon, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioners	:	Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Additional Advocate General
For Respondent	:	Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

10/07/2017

Heard.

1. While there is petition for initiating contempt proceedings against respondent officer for non-compliance of order dated 26.8.2016, there is an application (MCC) filed by the State for extending the time for compliance of the said order on the ground that because of the delay in receiving information, the decision could not be taken and there is no intention to flout the order of the Court.
2. Be that as it may, respondent-State cannot indefinitely postpone the decision on the ground of delay in communication. Whether or not the communications are received, the authority should now proceed to decide the matter one way or the other within a period of 6 weeks from today. No further extension would be granted.
3. Both the petitions are accordingly finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge