

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (PIL) No. 38 of 2016**

Chandrashekhar Shukla S/o Dr. A.K. Shukla, Aged About 43 Years R/o Loknath Bhawan, Village Kanhera, Tahsil Dharsiwa, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : The Secretary, Department Of Sports, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Public Health Engineering Department, Through : Its Secretary, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Water Resource Department, Through : Its Secretary, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Collector, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Tahsildar Arang, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Board of Control for Cricket in India, Through : Its Chief Executive Officer, IVth Floor, Cricket Center, Wankhede Stadium, D Road, Church Gate, Mumbai (Maharashtra)
7. Chhattisgarh State Cricket Sangh, Through : Its Secretary, Shahid Veer Narayan Singh International Stadium, Parsada, Tahsil Arang, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
8. "Indian Premier League, through its Governing Council, Chairman, 4th Floor, Cricket Centre, Wankhede Stadium, D Road, Church Gate, Mumbai, Maharashtra.
9. Delhii Dare Devils Cricket Team, through the Franchise Owner, G.M.R. Sports Private Limited, 301, 3rd Floor, Word Trade Towers, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi 110001.
10. Sunrisers Hyderabad Cricket Team, through the Franchise Owner, Sun T.V. Network Limited, Murasoli Maran Towers, 73, M.R.C. Nagar, Main Road, M.R.C. Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600028.
11. Royal Challengers Bangalore Cricket Team, through the Franchise Owner, United Spirits Limited, U.B. Towers, No. 24, Vittal Mallya Road, Bangaluru, Karnataka, 560001."

---- Respondents

For the Petitioner	:	Shri R.S. Baghel, Advocate.
For Respondents No.1 to 5	:	Shri Vivek Sharma, G.A.
For Respondents No.6, 7 & 9	:	Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Advocate.
For Respondent No.5	:	Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate.
For intervener	:	Shri S.C. Verma and Shri Shivraj Singh, Advocates.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker
Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant
Order on Board by Pritinker Diwaker, J.

04/01/2017

1. Learned counsel for the parties submit that due to efflux of time, the petition has become infructuous and the same may be dismissed accordingly.

2. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

The security amount, if any, deposited by the petitioner be refunded to him.

Sd/-

(Pritinker Diwaker)
Judge

Sd/-

(R.C.S. Samant)
Judge