

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CONT No. 380 of 2016

- Sanatan Prasad Chouhan S/o Shri Ganesh Ram Chouhan, Aged About 54 Years Head Master Govt. Boys Asharam Kharsia (Chorha) Block- Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. R.N. Heeradhar Presently Working As District Education Officer, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).
2. L.N. Patel, Presently Working As Block Education Officer, Block- Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh),.....(Contemoners).

---- Respondent

And

CONT No. 395 Of 2016

- Nageshwar Prasad Naik S/o Shri Nand Ram Naik, Aged About 55 Years Head Master Govt. Primary School Bakeli, Block- Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. R.N. Heeradhar Presently Working As District- Education Officer, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).
2. L.N. Patel Presently Working As Block Education Officer, Block- Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh),.....(Contemoners).

---- Respondent

And

CONT No. 396 Of 2016

- Dilip Kumar Patel S/o Shri Tularam Patel, Aged About 49 Years Head Master Govt. Primary School, Ambedkar Nagar Kharsia, Block- Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. R.N. Heeradhar Presently Working As District Education Officer,

District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).

2. L.N. Patel, Presently Working As Block Education Officer, Block-Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh),.....(Contemners).

---- Respondent

And

CONT No. 381 Of 2016

- Nirakar Choudhary S/o Shri Balgovind Choudhary, Aged About 51 Years Head Master Govt. Middle School Bhainapara Block Kharsia District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. R.N. Heeradhar Presently Working As District Education Officer, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh).
2. L.N. Patel, Presently Working As Block Education Officer, Block-Kharsia, District- Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh),.....(Contemners).

---- Respondent

For Petitioners

None

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

09/03/2017

Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners even in two rounds, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss these contempt petitions for want of prosecution.

Accordingly, the contempt petitions are dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

JUDGE

PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala