

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1206 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30 years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1263 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30 years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1262 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30 years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1264 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30 years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Page 2 of 4

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt.
Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1282 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30
years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt.
Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

AND

Criminal Misc. Petition No.1300 of 2016

Vikram Jeet Singh @ Lucky, S/o Narendra Singh Bali, aged about 30
years, R/o Bhatapara, Distt. Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner
(In Jail)

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through the District Magistrate, Balodabazar, Distt.
Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner: Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate.
For Respondent/State: Mr. S.M. Ali, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

19/06/2017

1. Since common question of law and fact is involved in the above petitions, they are being disposed of by this common order.
2. In this batch of petitions under Section 482 of the CrPC, the

petitioner is an accused who is standing trial in seven different cases. He made an application under Section 437 (6) of the CrPC for release on bail on the ground that within the stipulated time, the trial has not been concluded, therefore, he be released on bail. That application was rejected by the trial Court and the order of the trial Court has been upheld by the revisional Court against which these petitions under Section 482 of the CrPC have been filed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that trial having not been concluded within 60 days from the date of framing charge, the petitioner is entitled to be released on bail. Therefore, the order impugned passed by the trial Court and upheld by the revisional Court be set aside and the petitioner be released on bail.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record with utmost circumspection.
5. The revisional Court has held that number of witnesses i.e. 6-10, have been examined in most of the cases and looking to the nature of offence, the discretionary order passed by the trial Court refusing to enlarge the petitioner on bail is justified and it cannot be interfered with. The trial Court has considered the application and assigned reasons for declining to grant the application which the revisional Court has also concurred holding that witnesses have been examined and the prosecution is taking effective steps to examine their witnesses in most of the cases. The trial Court has exercised the discretion in not granting the application which has been sustained by the revisional Court. I do not find any perversity

or illegality in the said orders requiring jurisdiction under Section 482 of the CrPC. The petitions are, therefore, dismissed. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

6. Record of the trial Court be sent back forthwith.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Soma