

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Misc. Criminal Case No.5528 of 2017**

- Suraj Singh Yadav S/o Shri Khedu Ram Yadav, Aged About 56 Years R/o Village Gunapur, Police Station Lormi, District Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Lormi, District Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

 For Applicant : Shri Amit Singh, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Shri Arvind Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Chandra Bhushan Bajpai
Order On Board

15.9.2017

Heard the matter finally.

2. This is an application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.126/2017 registered in Police Station Lormi, Distt. Mungeli (CG) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the Chhattisgarh Excise Act, 1915.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been arrested on 25.7.2017, after investigation, police has filed charge sheet which is presently pending before Judicial Magistrate First Class, Lormi Distt. Mungeli as Criminal Case No.368/2017. As per the allegation, 70.00 bulk liters of country made liquor has been seized from the possession of the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the the applicant has been falsely implicated, he is the first offender, he will not commit any offence in

future, as the trial may take some time for its conclusion, he may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent/State opposed the arguments advanced on behalf of the applicant on the basis of huge quantity of liquor so seized from the possession of the applicant, though fairly submits that there is no criminal antecedent reported against the applicant.

5. Perused the entire material.

6. The applicant is in custody for one month and 22 days, charge sheet has been filed, the trial may take some time for its conclusion, he is first offender, as submitted he will not commit any offence in future, though the quantity of liquor so seized is on higher side, but looking to the entire facts, I am inclined to grant one last opportunity to the applicant so that he will not commit any offence in future and shall remain peacefully in the society.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is allowed.

8. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two separate solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Lormi for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed.

9. It is made clear that this order granting bail to the applicant shall stand cancelled automatically without reference to the Bench by the Court below if (i) the trial Court finds that the applicant suppressed filing or pendency of any other application for grant of bail before this Court or the Hon'ble Apex Court intentionally; (ii) the

applicant does not cooperate in the trial; (iii) the applicant is found to be involved in any offence of the like nature; and (iv) the trial Court finds that the applicant remains absent without any sufficient and cogent reason. If bail is cancelled automatically in view of the above, the Court below may proceed further under the provisions of law, under intimation.

10. It is further directed that till the conclusion of the trial, present applicant shall mark his appearance before the Station House Officer/IO, Police Station Lormi, Distt. Mungeli (CG) **on First and Third Monday of every month at 11.00 am.** It is further made clear that if the applicant without any cogent and proper reason does not appear before the Police of Police Station Lormi, Distt. Mungeli as directed, the concerned police may inform the trial Court for the act and if his non appearance found to be without any proper and cogent reason, the instant order granting bail to the applicant shall automatically be cancelled by the trial Court without further reference to the Bench, under intimation.

11. Registrar (Judl.) is further directed to send a copy of the order to the concerned trial Judge and also to provide a copy of the order to the respondent/State for placing it with the case diary to be returned to the concerned police for compliance and information.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Chandra Bhushan Bajpai)
JUDGE