

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1657 of 2016

Raju Kurre S/o Shri Sher Singh Kurre, Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Lokhankunda, District Kabirdham (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Food And Safety Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Commissioner, Food And Safety, Fourth Floor, Block- 1, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Controller, Food And Safety, Fourth Floor, Block- 1, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The University Grant Commission, Through The Secretary, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi. 110002
5. Bijendra Bharti S/o Mahesh Bharti, C/o Ramesh Jaiswal, Near Shantideep Rice Mill, Ward No. 1, Ramnagar, Kawardha, District Kabirdham (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

Shri Goutam Khetrapal, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri A.S.Kachhawaha, Addl. A.G. for the State.
Shri R.K.Gupta, counsel for UGC.
None for respondent No.5 though served.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

10/07/2017

The petitioner has filed this petition aggrieved by action of the respondents because despite petitioner's selection, he has not been appointed there being dispute with regard to the degree of the private university / MATS University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the petition, because of subsequent developments, documents have been filed by him and communication made by UGC on 22/11/2016 addressed to the State Government that petitioner's degree, which was the operative reason for his non-appointment, has been found valid. Therefore, his application may be directed to be

considered.

3. After going through the communication dated 22/11/2016 of the UGC, this Court finds that the application of the petitioner would require due consideration in the light of the communication of the UGC. State is, therefore, directed to examine petitioner's case in the light of communication dated 22/11/2016 of UGC and take appropriate decision within a period of four weeks.

4. The petitioner shall submit a copy of communication dated 22/11/2016 of the UGC along with copy of the order which is now being passed for due consideration before respondent No.2. The decision shall be taken within a period of four weeks.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge