

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1306 of 2016

1. Kamlu Ram Souri S/o Shri Ayatu Ram Souri, Aged About 38 Years Occupation Service Posted As Chowkidar Daily Wages, Forest Division South Kondagaon, R/o Jampadar Dongaripara, P.S. Kondagaon, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Manrakhan Lal Chouhan, S/o Shri Ratna Ram Chouhan, Aged About 41 Years Occupation Service, Posted As Chowkidar, Daily Wages, In Neera Chindali Nursery, Forest Division Keshkal, P.S. Keshkal, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Taturam, S/o Shri Chudur Gond, Aged About 34 Years Occupation Service Posted As Chowkidar Daily Wages, Parasgaon Range, Forest Division North Keshkal, P.S. Keshkal, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Ramesh Netam, S/o Shri Dhol Netam, By Caste Gond, Aged About 44 Years Occupation Service Posted As Chowkidar Daily Wages, At Bade Dongar Forest Division Keshkal, P.S. Farasgaon, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Forest Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, Civil & Revenue Distt. Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Conservator Of Forest, Kanker Forest Circle Kanker, Civil & Revenue Distt. North Baster, Kanker, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Divisional Forest Officer, North Kondagaon, Forest Division, Kondagaon, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Divisional Forest Officer, South Kondagaon, Forest Division Kondagaon, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)
5. Divisional Forest Officer, South Keshkal Forest Division Keshkal, Civil & Revenue Distt. Kondagaon, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

Shri Sunil Sahu, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri Manish Nigam, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

10/07/2017

Though this case was listed for reply of respondents, learned counsel for the

petitioner pointed out that number of similarly situated employees have been considered and regularised by the respondents and only because petitioners' case has remained pending before this Court, they have not been considered and regularised. He submits that at this stage, this case may be disposed off with a direction to the respondents to regularise the petitioners keeping in view policy dated 05/03/2008.

State counsel assures that if the case of the petitioners has not been considered, the same shall be considered and appropriate decision shall be taken on petitioners' claim.

In view of above, I am not inclined to keep the matter pending. This petition is accordingly disposed off with a direction to the respondents to examine the case of the petitioners in accordance with the Government's policy dated 05/03/2008 and the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka and others Vs. Uma Devi (3) and others, 2006 (4) SCC 1** and take decision within an outer limit of four months.

**Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge**