

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPS No. 63 of 2017

1. Ramesh Kumar S/o Khubchand, Aged About 58 Years R/o Village Surakachhar, Tah. Katghora, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)
2. Bhawani Shankar, S/o Late Suresh Kumar, Aged About 34 Years R/o Jai Sharda Hardware, Gevra Road, Near Railway Station, Korba, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)
3. Amit Kumar S/o. Late Suresh Kumar, Aged About 29 Years R/o Jai Sharda Hardware, Gevra Road, Near Railway Station, Korba, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)
4. Smt. Premlata, W/o Late Suresh Kumar, Aged About 53 Years R/o Jai Sharda Hardware, Gevra Road, Near Railway Station, Korba, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)
5. Shatruhan, S/o Khubchand, Aged About 48 Years R/o Village Bhairotal, P. S. Kusmunda, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited Through Its Chairman-Cum-Managing Director, Seepat Road, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
2. General Manager, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Kusmunda Area, Tahsil Katghora, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)
3. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Collector, Korba (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Ravindra Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Shri Sudhir Kumar Bajpai, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

06/01/2017

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would submit that the matter is covered by the decision of this Court passed in WPS No.4982 of

2016 (Mukesh Kumar Patel vs. South Eastern Coalfields Ltd. and others), decided on 22.9.2016 by which in paragraph 4 and 5 this Court has held as under:-

“4. In view of aforesaid submission and order passed by this Court on 23/07/2015 in Ku.Rattho Bai (supra), at this stage, it would be proper to dispose off this matter with a direction to respondent no.2 to examine the claim of the petitioners in the light of of order dated 23/07/2015 passed in the case of Ku.Rattho Bai (supra). If upon verification of facts, the petitioners herein are found to be identically situated, their case shall also be considered for grant of employment as per the policy of rehabilitation.

5. Considering that the matter relates to rehabilitation policy of land oustees, respondent No.2 is expected to take decision on the claim of the respective petitioners within a period of 20 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

2. In view of above, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of paragraph 4 and 5 of the decision rendered by this Court in the case of **Mukesh Kumar Patel** (supra). No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-