

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 90 OF 2017

1. Smt. Rajiya Begum, W/o Late Shiv Kumar Sahu, aged about 65 years.
2. Sikandar *alias* Raka, S/o Late Shiv Kumar Sahu, aged about 29 years.
Both R/o Mohalla- Kapilnagar, Sarkanda, Police Station- Sarkanda,
Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Home and Police Department, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director General of Police, Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh Police, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Superintendent of Police, Office of Superintendent of Police, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

10/01/2017

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the Petitioners, seeking for a direction to the Respondents for granting compassionate appointment to Petitioner No.2, whose father was working as a Constable and had died in harness on 26.3.2014.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that they have been made to run from pillar to post by moving appropriate application before the different authorities but no action or order has been passed by the Respondents in this regard.
3. Learned Counsel for the State at this juncture submits that from the records it appears that the Petitioners have not approached the competent authority till now and therefore he submits that the Petitioners may be directed to file a fresh representation to Respondent No.2, on which the Respondent No.2 shall take an appropriate decision.

4. Accordingly and in view of the submission of the State Counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Petitioners to file a fresh representation in respect of their claim to Respondent No.2 within a period of three weeks from today and on receipt of the said representation it is expected that the Respondent No.2 shall positively take a decision in accordance with the rules governing the field, within a further period of three months.

5. In case, if the Petitioner is not entitled to the relief the Respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking order intimating as to why he is not entitled.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge