

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**W.P. (S) No. 7094 of 2016**

Smt. Shyama Laxman, W/o Late shri S.K. Laxman, aged about 80 years, Sub Inspector (M), R/o House No.-1024, Om Nagar, Jarhabhata, Bilaspur, District – Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, Finance Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Secretary, Department of Home Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Director General of Police, Police Headquarters, Civil Lines, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Joint Director, (Treasury, Accounts & Pension), Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. Swaraj Ranjan Kanungo, Advocate on behalf of Smt. Naushina Afrin Ali, Advocate.

For Respondents : Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

03/01/2017

(1) Learned counsel appearing for the State, on instructions, would submit that this matter is covered by decision rendered by this Court in W.P. (S) No.4523/2014 (**Francis Xavier Back & others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others**) decided on 03.07.2015, in which this Court has held as under: -

“ 10. In view of what has been urged by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties, all the writ petitions are disposed of in the following terms :-

- The Department of Home, Government of Chhattisgarh

shall constitute a committee consisting of senior IPC Officers not below the rank of ADGP and a senior officer of the Finance Department not below the rank of Additional Secretary.

- The petitioners shall submit a representation before the said committee within a period of one month from today.
- The committee shall examine the issue as to whether the respective cases of the petitioners are similar to **Abdul Nawab Khan** (Supra). If the petitioners are similar to those petitioners, they shall be entitled to all such benefits which are admissible to those petitioners on the subject issue.”

(2) In view of the aforesaid statement, the writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the paragraph 10 of the order passed by this Court in the matter of **Francis Xavier Back & others** (supra).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-