

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

M. Cr. C. (A) No. 53 of 2017

Vaibhav Pandey S/o Gopal Pandey, aged about 35 years, R/o Panchwati Gorwa, Refinery Road, Barodara (Gujrat) permanent address Ganesh Chowk, near Church, Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh through Station House Officer, Police Station City Kotwali, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Applicant	:	Shri Vivek Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Ashok Swarnkar, Panel Lawyer
For Objector	:	Shri Virendra Verma, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

08/05/2017

The present application under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.337/2016 registered at P.S. City Kotwali, District Baloda Bazar – Bhatapara (CG) for the offence punishable under Section 498A/34 of IPC

The present applicant is the husband of complainant Ankitamani Tripathi (Pandey). The allegation against the applicant is that right from the date of marriage, he has been pressurizing the family members of the complainant for providing more and more dowry. From the documents enclosed with the case diary it is reflected that father of the complainant has already deposited more than rupees fifteen lakhs in the bank account of the applicant as dowry yet the applicant used to ill-treat the complainant demanding more dowry.

Considering the role played by the present applicant as is evident from the complaint and the statement of the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case where the present applicant can be granted the

advantage of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the instant application filed under Section 438 CrPC stands rejected.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Bhola