

①

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 4872 /2015

PETITIONER

Ku. Neelam Dhruv D/o Padam
Dhruv, aged about 23 years, R/o
Village - Farsiya, Post - Farsiya,
Tahsil - Nagri, Police Station -
Nagri, Civil & Revenue Distt.
Dhamtari (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1] State of Chhattisgarh, through:
Secretary, Panchayat & Gramin
Vikas Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Capital Complex,
New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
- 2] Director (Panchayat) Directorate,
Chhattisgarh, 36 Block, 2nd Floor,
Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur,
District Raipur (C.G.)
- 3] Chief Executive Officer, Zila
Panchayat, Kanker, District
Kanker (C.G.)



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1] PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER (S):

As stated above in the cause title.

2] PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENT (S):

As stated above in the cause title.

**NATIONAL LOK ADALAT****CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT CAMPUS: BILASPUR****WPS No. 4877 of 2015****Ku. Neelam Dhruv****Versus****State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.**

For Petitioner : Shri Vivek Kumar Tripathi, Advocate.
For Respondent/State : Shri AS Kachhawaha, Additional
Advocate General.

AWARD**Passed on 08.07.2017**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that the impugned order of transfer shall not be acted upon by the State and the petitioner would not be insisted to join the transferred place. He prays for reserving their right to pass a fresh order in the event of administrative exigency.



2. This proposal is acceptable to the counsel for the petitioner and submits that in view of undertaking given by the State, this petition may be disposed of.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with the consent of the parties that the State shall not act upon the impugned transfer order reserving right in favour of the State to pass a fresh order in the event of administrative exigency.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge

inder

MEMBER