

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4811 of 2017**

1. Peeladas S/o Late Shri Raghubar Das, Aged About 50 Years
Posted At Govt. Premetric Schedule Caste Boyas Hostel
Nawagarh, District Bemetara R/o Village Manpur, Post Tahsil /
Police Station Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
2. Bhagawat Ram Dhruw, S/o Shri Amrit Ram Dhruw, Aged About
50 Years Posted At Govt. Post - Metric Schedule Caste Boys
Hostel Nawagarh, R/o Village Liteepur, Post Pratappur, Tahsil /
Police Station Navagarh, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
3. Ram Awatar Dhruw S/o Shri Balaram Dhruw, Aged About 51
Years Posted At Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare And
Development, Bemetara, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh, R/o
Village Bauer Kachhara, Post Tega Bhat, Tahsil Navagarh, Police
Station Darhi District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
4. Jayant Kumar Kaushle S/o Shri Gophe Lal Kaushle, Aged About
51 Years Posted At Govt. Pri. - Metric Schedule Caste Boys
Hostel Sambalpur, Bemetara R/o Village Raweli, Police Station
Nandghat, Tahsil / District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
Tribal Welfare, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur,
District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner, Tribal Welfare And Development, Mahanadi
Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare And Development,
Bemetara, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
4. Collector, (Tribal Welfare And Development), Bemetara, District
Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
5. District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department, Bemetara, District
Bemetara Chhattisgarh.

...Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. B.P. Banjare, Advocate
For State-respondent	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****04/10/2017**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that case of the petitioners is similar to Smt. Vipti Bai and others v. State of Chhattisgarh and others, who filed petition being Writ Petition (S) No.1138/2016 before this Court, in which, the order was passed on 18.4.2016 with a direction to consider their grievance by making detailed representation.
2. A perusal of the order dated 18.4.2016 shows that the Court recorded that petitioners may approach the respondents raising claim for equal pay for equal work and in view of the statement made by State counsel, petition was disposed off with a direction to consider the representations of the petitioners without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.
3. In the present case, the petitioners have approached this Court directly and has not made any representation. Therefore in these circumstances, all that can be done is to direct that if the petitioners make representation to the authorities claiming parity with those petitioners in whose favour order has been passed in WPS No.1138/2016 (Smt. Vipti Bai and others v. State of Chhattisgarh and others), claim of the petitioners shall also be examined in accordance with law and in light of decision rendered in Smt. Vipti Bai (supra).
4. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

J U D G E