

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4486 of 2017

1. Sunil Kumar Netam S/o Shri Kanwal Netam Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Damkasa, Post Dokla, Block Charama, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
2. Moti Ram Kashyap S/o Shri K. Kashyap Aged About 49 Years R/o Village Tirkadand, Post Puri, Block Charama, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
3. Sobhit Ram Nuruti S/o Shri Hirau Ram Nuruti Aged About 33 Years R/o Village Kurutolla, Thana Doundi, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
4. Leela Ram Sinha S/o Shri Ranjan Singh Sinha Aged About 34 Years R/o Village And Post Halba, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat , Charama, District Kanker , Chhattisgarh.
4. Treasury Officer Treasury, Account And Pension, Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

04/09/2017

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by order dated 03.07.2017 passed in WPS No.2791 of 2017 in respect of similarly situated employee, whose transfer from the jurisdiction of one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat has been

held to be without jurisdiction and illegal by this Court in WPS No.1273 of 2016 (Smt. Geeta Sukhdev Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) and batch of petitions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that one Ku. Girija Patel was also one of the aggrieved employee like the petitioners had assailed the order of transfer from one Zila Panchayat to other Zila Panchayat, which was set aside by this Court. Later on, Ku. Girija Patel filed another petition WPS No.2791 of 2017 claiming that she is entitled to salary for the period it was not paid to her for non-joining pursuant to the impugned order of transfer. That petition has been allowed vide order dated 03.07.2017 with the direction to make payment of salary with effect from the date of transfer till the date of joining.
3. Learned State counsel could not dispute the legal position and that the case of the petitioners is similar to that of Ku. Girija Patel in whose favour, order has been passed by this Court on 03.07.2017. He, however, submits that it would require factual verification as to the date on which the petitioners joined duties.
4. In view of the aforesaid submission, I am inclined to allow this petition on the same terms as in the case of Ku. Girija Patel (WPS No.2791 of 2017) decided vide order dated 03.07.2017 and direct respondents to grant consequential relief including wages with effect from the date of transfer till the date, the petitioners joined their duties. Let necessary steps be taken in this regard within a period of 60 days from today.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge