

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2119 of 2017

Ramesh Kumar Dhruv S/o Panchkorh Ram Dhruv, Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Tora, Post Andhiyarkhor, Tahsil Navagarh, Distt. Bemetara (Chhattisgarh) At Present Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation Lormi, Distt Mungeli (Chhattisgarh) ---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation Through Its President Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation, 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation, 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Secretary, Food Supplies And Consumer Protection, State Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh) ---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Wasim Miyan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Manish Nigam, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents No.1 & 2	:	Mr. S.S. Baghel, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

04/05/2017

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 submits that identical writ petitions i.e. WPS No.672 of 2017 and other connected matters came up before the coordinate Bench of this Court and the Court vide its order dated 09.02.2017 has only granted liberty to the similarly placed petitioners to make suitable representation before the competent authority, who in turn, shall decide the same keeping in view the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka & Others Vs. Umadevi and Others, reported in 2006 (4) SCC 1.**

2. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, this petition is also

disposed off in similar terms. The petitioner is at liberty to make a detailed representation before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance and the respondent authority shall consider and decide the same as early as possible keeping in view of the observations made by the Supreme Court in case of Umadevi (Supra).

3. With the aforesaid direction, the present petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

J U D G E

Rekha