

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1183 of 2017

1. Smt. Veena Mishra W/o Late Laxmikant Mishra, Aged About 52 Years R/o Aayurvedam 11 Nagar Marg, Near Maharashtra Bhawan, Chaubey Colony, P.S. Aazad Chowk Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Pushpa Jain, W/o Shri Champalal Jain, Aged About 63 Years R/o B- 402, Tirupati Apartment Taigor Nagar Post Penshanbada, P. S. Sadar Bajar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Dilip Jain, S/o Shri Rikhabchand Jain, Aged About 33 Years R/o House No. S-8 Green Paradise Vishal Nagar, Post Telibandha, P.S. Telibandha, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Revenue Department Naya Raipur Matralay, Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Collector Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Superintendent Of Police, Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Ashok Kumar Singh, S/o Late Nandbihari Singh, President, Uttarbharat Samaj Kalyan Samiti, In Front Of Gurunanak School Hirapur, Tatibandh, P.S. Amanaka Tehsil & District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri Ramakant Mishra, Dy.A.G. for the State / respondents 1 to 3.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

03/05/2017

The petitioners' grievance appear to be based on interference allegedly caused by respondent No.4 in enjoyment of peaceful possession of his own property. It appears that the petitioners have also lodged report to the Superintendent of Police.

In so far as alleged interference on the possession of the property is

concerned, petitioners' remedy lies in filing Civil Suit seeking injunction. If the petitioners feel that any criminal act has been committed by interfering with the possession, the remedy lies in lodging report in the police station. As the petitioners have efficacious remedy under the law, this Court is not inclined to issue any direction.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Deepti