

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 1272 OF 2017

Smt. Sunita Jangde, W/o Rajkumar Jangde, aged about 31 years, R/o Village Kera, Tahsil Navagarh, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.), posted at Government Primary School, Charbhata, Kera, Tahsil. Navagarh, District Janjgir-Champa as Assistant Teacher Panchayat.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur, Tah. & Distt Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Janjgir Champa, Distt. Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Navagarh, Distt. Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)
4. District Education Officer, Distt. Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravindra Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. B. Gopa Kumar, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

16/03/2017

1. Grievance of the Petitioner is that the respondent authorities have not decided the objection that she had raised vide representation dated 8.12.2016 made before Respondent No.2.

2. Contention of the Petitioner is that he is working as Shiskha Karmi Grade-III. Initially he was posted at Primary School Khoksa under Janpad Panchayat Navagarh. Subsequently, the service of the Petitioner has been transferred to Naveen Government Primary School, Charbhata Kera under Janpad Panchayat Navagarh, District Janjgir-Champa. As per the subject-wise seniority list published by Respondent No.2, the name of the Petitioner finds place at serial No.15. Subsequently, the Respondent No.2 published a general seniority list where the name of the Petitioner finds place at serial No.775. The Petitioner immediately filed objection against the general seniority list and

requested for gradation list to be considered subject wise. But, no action has been taken in this regard by the Respondents till now.

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner makes a limited prayer that the objection which the Petitioner has filed before Respondent No.2 may be decided at the earliest so that the seniority list can be suitably finalised on the basis of which the Petitioner may also be considered for next promotional post.

4. The said prayer of the Petitioner is not strongly opposed by the State Counsel.

5. Accordingly the writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the objection filed by the Petitioner which is pending before him, within a period of 60 days from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the Petitioner to bring to the notice of Respondent No.2 as regards the order passed by this Court.

6. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE