

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition (S) No.1125 of 2017**

Pyare Lal Sahu S/o Late Shri Dhanau Ram Sahu, Aged About 39 Years R/o Village Supela, Post Semra, Tahsil & Thana Bhatapara Distt. Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh).

---- **Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation Through Its President, Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation, 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Ware Housing Corporation, 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Secretary, Food Supplies And Consumer Protection, State Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

---- **Respondents**

---

|                       |   |                                                   |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner        | : | Ms. Pritha Ghosal, Advocate.                      |
| For respondent/State  | : | Shri Shashank Thakur, Govt. Advocate.             |
| For respondent No.1&2 | : | Shri BD Guru and Shri Aditya Bhardwaj, Advocates. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order On Board**

**07/03/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the respondents No.1&2 submits that identical writ petitions i.e. WPS No.672 of 2017 and other connected matters came up before the coordinate Bench of this Court and the court vide its order dated 09.02.2017 has only granted liberty to the similarly placed petitioners to make suitable representation before the competent authority who in turn shall decide the same keeping in view the decision of the Supreme Court in case of Secretary, State of Karnataka & Others Vs. Umadevi and Others, reported in 2006 (4) SCC-1.
2. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, this petition is also disposed of in the similar terms. The petitioner is at liberty to make a detailed

representation before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance and the respondent authority shall consider and decide the same as early as possible keeping in view of the observations made by the Supreme Court in case of Umadevi (Supra).

3. With the aforesaid direction, the present petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**

inder