

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1349 of 2017

- Smt. Savitri W/o Shri Dhannu Aged About 70 Years R/o Jaikali Chouk Bhoipara Raipur, Tahsil- & District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Municipal Corporation Raipur, Through The Commissioner Municipal Corporation, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Suresh Tandon, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Ms. M. Asha, Panel Lawyer.
For respondent No.2	:	Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

21/03/2017

1. In view of fact that identical writ petition has already been disposed of, the defaults stand ignored.
2. The limited prayer which the Petitioner has sought in the present writ petition is that her initial appointment was on a regular post of 'Safai Kamgar' on 1.4.1978 on which post she continued till her retirement on 30.6.2007 as such she had continuously worked for a period of 27 years.
3. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that though the Petitioner has been retired in the year 2007 her claim for pension has not been finalised or settled by the Respondents. According to the Counsel for the Petitioner, she is entitled for pension, but for the reasons best known the Respondents are not processing her case.
4. The documents enclosed with the present writ petition do not reflect of any representation having been made by the Petitioner. Let the Petitioner make a detailed representation in this regard to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur within four weeks from today and on receipt of the said representation the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur is directed

to ensure that the representation is decided at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the said representation. It is further directed that in case, if the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur finds that the Petitioner is entitled for pension the same shall be ordered to be released forthwith and in case if she is not found entitled she should be intimated in writing giving specific reasons as to why she is not entitled for the same.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(P.Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder