

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6938 of 2017**

- Prahlad Sahu S/o Funulal Sahu Aged About 30 Years By Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Khajuri Shankul Darrabhatha, R/o Village Khajuri, Masturi, Police Station Masturi Tahsil- Masturi Thana Sipat District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director , Directorate Of Panchayat , Indravati Bhavan Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat , Bilaspur District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Masturi , District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
5. The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
6. District Education Officer Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
7. Block Education Officer, Office Of The Block Education Officer, Masturi District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondents/State

Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate.
Shri A.Pandey, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****11/12/2017**

1. Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 13.11.2017 by

which he has been transferred from Primary School, Khajuri to Primary School, Kokdi.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that for the present the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation and the concerned authority of the respondent may be directed to decide the same, in accordance with law.
3. Considering the facts situation of the case, it would be appropriate to allow the petitioner to prefer a representation before the concerned competent authority raising his grievance against the impugned transfer order.
4. Let the petitioner prefer representation within a period of 04 weeks from today and thereafter, the concerned competent authority shall consider and decide the same within the next 8 weeks, in accordance with law and on its own merits.
5. For a period of 12 weeks or till the representation is decided, whichever is earlier, the *status quo*, as it exists today, in respect of the petitioner's posting, shall be maintained.
6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh