

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPCR No. 514 of 2017

Rukhmani Sahu, W/o. Shri Gopal Chand Sahu, Aged About 32 Years, R/o. House Of Shri Bhajan Lahare, Govindvan, Police Station & Tahsil Bilaigarh, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Home Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Superintendent Of Police, Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
3. S.H.O., Police Station Bilaigarh, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
4. Ramgopal Sahu, S/o. Purushottam Sahu, Aged About 37 Years, R/o. Village Kedar, Police Station & Tahsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. H.V.Sharma, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. S.K.Mishra, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

08.12.2017

Heard

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the FIR and rejection of anticipatory bail application of the Respondent No.4, the police is sitting over the matter and no actions are being taken; therefore, only the limited prayer is that the police may be directed to expedite their act in filing challan or filing of closure report.
2. Considering the limited prayer, it is directed that since the FIR is registered, the police cannot sit over the same for the time immemorial. Consequently, they are directed to take action and

arrive at a certain opinion and may file the charge sheet or the closure report. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any observation on the merits of the case.

3. With such observation, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

Ashok