

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6334 of 2017

- Poleshwar Painkra S/o Shri Satan Sai Painkra, Aged About 40 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Kolam Chitwahi, Block Tamnar, Distt. Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

And

WPS No. 6383 Of 2017

- Saroj Gond W/o Shri Hori Lal Gond, Aged About 33 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Kolam Chitwahi, Block Tamnar, Distt. Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

And

WPS No. 6382 Of 2017

- Dharamveer Sao, S/o Shri Tiaram Sao, Aged About 38 Years, By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Tamnar Block Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6381 Of 2017

- Manju Patel W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Patel, Aged About 29 Years, By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Kunjemura, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

And

WPS No. 6380 Of 2017

- Amrit Lal Naik S/o Shri Dhaniram Naik, Aged About 43 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Mahloi Block Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Vs**

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	Shri Parag Kotecha, Advocate
For Respondent-State	Ms. K. Tripti Rao, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****23/11/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that for the present, the petitioners would not press the relief claimed in paragraph 10.2 of the petition. For the remaining reliefs, it is stated by learned counsel for the parties that the matter in issue is covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in the matter of **Jayant Kumar Patle vs State of Chhattisgarh and others**, passed in WPS No.4271/2017 and other connected matters, decided on **03.11.2017**.

2. Learned State counsel would not dispute the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, however, she would further submit that the petitioners have not yet completed 8 years of service, therefore, they are not entitled for the revised pay scale/time scale pay.
3. Considering the fact that the petitioners' prayer is only for quashing the circular dated 08.03.2017, which impedes their entitlement to obtain benefit of revised pay scale/time scale pay, as and when it falls due, the writ petition is disposed of with observation that the competent authority shall consider petitioners' case regarding their entitlement to increment, time scale pay/revised pay scale, as and when they become eligible for the same, in view of the circular issued by the State Government and the order passed by this Court in the matter of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Accordingly, all the writ petitions are disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra