

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No.3059 of 2017**

1. Smt. Raniya Bai W/o Nohar Singh Patel, Aged About 65 Years
R/o Village Banbarad, Tahsil Dhamdha, District Durg,
Chhattisgarh.
2. Gulab Singh Patel, S/o Nohar Singh Patel, Aged About 47 Years
R/o Village Banbarad, Tahsil Dhamdha, District Durg,
Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Revenue
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Public Works
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Superintendent Engineer, Public Works Department, Division
Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
4. Sub- Engineer, Public Works Department, Division- Durg,
District- Durg, Chhattisgarh.
5. S. D. O. , Public Works Department, Sub Division No. 3, Durg,
Durg, Chhattisgarh.
6. S. D. O. (Revenue)- Dhamdha, Durg, District Durg,
Chhattisgarh.
7. Municipal Corporation, Council- Ahivara, Through Its President,
Durg, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh.
8. Municipal Corporation, Council- Ahivara, Through Its Chief Town
Municipal Corporation Officer, Ahivara, Durg, District Durg,
Chhattisgarh.
9. Collector, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
10. Tahsildar, Ahivara, Tahsil- Dhamdha, District- Durg,
Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Jitendra Gupta, Advocate
For State : Mr. Arun Sao, Deputy Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

15/11/2017

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have made representation to the Collector, Durg for redressal of their grievances, which has not been considered and decided till now and, therefore, direction may be issued to decide the same expeditiously.

(2) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(3) Be that as it may, the petitioners are at liberty to file additional representation to the Collector, Durg. If such representation is filed by the petitioners before the Collector, in that event the Collector shall consider and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law.

(4) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the said authority would be at liberty to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-