

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6161 of 2017

- Jitendra Kumar S/o Shri Jhaduram, Aged About 37 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Rengakharkala, Block Bodla, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6175 Of 2017

- Hemant Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Surendra Sahu, Aged About 37 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Kanwar, Block Gurur, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 6170 Of 2017

- Yashpal S/o Shri Haldhar Lal Aged About 33 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. H. S. S. Chourel, Block Gunderdehi, Distt. Balod Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector, District Balod Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Balod Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioners	Shri Parag Kotecha, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	Shri Rajendra Tripathi, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

11/12/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that for the present, the petitioners would not press the relief clause 10.3 of the petitions.
2. Accordingly, the petition is considered on merits for the other reliefs claimed in para 10.
3. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the parties

would jointly and fairly submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been considered and decided by this Court on 03.11.2017 in WPS No.4271 of 2017 (**Jayant Kumar Patle Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others**, and other connected matters), therefore, these writ petitions may be disposed of in terms of the order passed in **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).

4. Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra). A copy of the order passed in **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) be placed on record of the present writ petitions.

Sd/-
Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Akhilesh