

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 2832 of 2017**

1. Kasturba Mahila Swa Sahayata Samooch through its Secretary, Village Antarla, Sector Village Toresinha, Tehsil Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
2. Kaveri Mahila Swa Sahayata Samooch, through its Secretary, Village Kena, Sector Village Ichchhapur, Tehsil Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through its Secretary, Department Women and Child Welfare, Mantralay, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Women and Child Welfare Directorate, Indrawati Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
4. District Programme Officer, Women and Child Development Department, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
5. Project Officer, Women and Child Development Department, Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Shashank Thakur, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****25/10/17**

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution has been preferred by the petitioners for ventilating their grievances that the agreement executed between the petitioners and respondent No. 4 for supply of ready to eat food is for the period of 05 years which has been reduced to a period of 01 year behind the back of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners would submit that the action of respondent No. 4 is arbitrary and contrary to law.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents would submit that the petitioner may prefer representation for the redressal of their grievances before the District Level Committee headed by the Collector and that will be considered and decided expeditiously.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Be that as it may, the petitioners are at liberty to make a representation to the District Level Committee headed by the Collector and, in that event, the Collector/Committee shall consider and decide the petitioners' representation after hearing both the parties expeditiously preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge