

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**  
**WP227 No.684 of 2017**

Smt. Shinki Meshram (Yadav), aged about 30 years, W/o Shri Brijbhushan Yadav, R/o. Magarpara Chowk, Bilaspur, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Versus

Brijbhushan Yadav, aged 31 years, S/o Late Shri Ghanshyam, R/o Abhishek Vihar Phase-II, House No.30, (Mangla Bilaspur), Tahsil & District Bilaspur (CG) Occupational Place-I Sect Brij Computer Society Mangla Chowk Bilaspur, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (CG)

---- Respondent

---

For Petitioner : Mr.Devesh G. Kela, Advocate

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order on Board**

25/09/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that opportunity to lead the evidence and to cross-examine Panchram Suryawanshi has been closed by the trial Court. The said witness is very much necessary for just and proper disposal of the suit.
2. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner to some extent.
3. In the interest of justice, it is directed that the trial Court will issue summons for appearance of witness Panchram Suryawanshi, councilor of the ward, on 23<sup>rd</sup> October, 2017 before the trial Court for examination by special process server.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition finally stands disposed of. No cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge