

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4145 of 2017

- Santosh Kumar Sharma W/o Shri Ram Sahay Sharma Aged About 45 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Gevrankhurd, Block Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Directorate Panchayat Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer, Block Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

And

WPS No. 4221 Of 2017

- Padam Singh Meravi S/o Shri Bisnath Singh Meravi, Aged About 39 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt, Higher School, Rengakhar (Kala), Block Bodla, Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat & Rural Development, Mahandai Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner-cum- Director, Directorate Panchayat

Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer, Block Bodla, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bodla, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondent-State

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
Shri Sangharsh Pandey, Dy. GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

05/12/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the issue involved in the present writ petitions is covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in the matter of **Jayant Kumar Patle vs State of Chhattisgarh and others**, passed in WPS No.4271/2017 and other connected matters, decided on **03.11.2017**.
2. Learned State counsel would submit that the petitioners have not yet completed the required length of service, therefore, they are not entitled for revised pay scale.
3. In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in the matter of **Jayant Kumar Patle**

(supra). However, the petitioners would be entitled to claim the revised pay scale strictly in accordance with the eligibility condition applied by the State Government. This shall be verified by the competent authority before extending any benefit to the petitioners.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Nirala