

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 2140 of 2017**

1. Rohit Chandravanshi S/o Shrimani Chandravanshi, Aged About 36 Years R/o Village Ruse District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
2. Ashwani Dewangan S/o Shri Vijay Ram Dewanagan Aged About 49 Years Sati Ward No. 14, Dewangan Para, Kavaradha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
3. Ramprakash Singh S/o Shri Keshav Singh Aged About 60 Years R/o Village Tedadhaura, Block Mungeli, District Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Registrar , Co- Operative Societies, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, State Co- Operative Election Commission, State Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Rakhi, Post And Police Station- Rakhi District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Joint Registrar, Co- Operative Society, Durg, Division, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
4. Deputy Registrar Co- Operative Society, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh
5. Managing Director Bhoramdev Sahkari Shakkar Utpadak Karkhana Maryadit, Ramhepur, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
6. Suresh Dubey S/o Umend Dubey Aged About 48 Years Elected Member, C/o Bhoramdev Sahakari Shakkar Utpadak Karkhana Maryadit, Ramhepur, Kawardha, District Kawardha, Chhattisgarh.
7. Rajkumar Kashyap S/o Ayodhya Prasad Kashyap Aged About 50 Years Elected Members, C/o Bhoramdev Sahakari Shakkar Utpadak Karkhana Maryadit , Ramhepur, Kawardha, District Kawardha, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Shri Shashank Thakur, GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****03/08/17**

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the election of respondents No. 6 and 7 on the post of representative of the Primary Society is illegal and bad in law.

2. Mr. Shashank Thakur, Learned State Counsel submits that the petitioner has an effective remedy of raising the dispute under Section 64(2)(v) of the Cooperative Societies Act, 1960. Therefore, the petitioner be relegated to avail remedy available to him under the law.

3. Be that as it may, the petitioner has a remedy of raising the dispute before the Registrar, Co-operative Society. This Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is accordingly dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to raise the dispute before the competent authority/forum, strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge