

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 2067 of 2017**

Century Textiles And Industries Limited A Company Registered Within The Meaning Of Companies Act 2013 Having Its Registered Head Office Century Bhawan, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Mumbai, Through Its Deputy General Manager, Legal (Authorized Signatory) Namely Shri Yogesh Jayant Paranjape, Aged About 37 Years R/o Century Bhawan Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli Mumbai Maharashtra 400030

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector Of Stamps Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Registrar Of Stamps, Office Of District Registrar Office Raipur, Collectorate Campus, Raipur Collectorate Campus, Raipur, Head Office Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Manager, State Bank Of India, Central Office Madam Kama Road, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400021 Branch Office Corporate Account Gourp, B.K.C. The Capital A Wing 16th Floor Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East Mumbai 400051
4. Manager, I C I C I Bank, Landmark, Race Course Circle, Vadodara 390007, 215 Free Phase House Nariman Point, Mumbai 400021
5. Manager Punjab National Bank, Head Office 7 Bhikaji Kama Place Africa Avenue, New Delhi Branch Office Worli Naka, Mumbai 400018
6. Manager Union Bank Of India, Head Office 239 Vidhan Bhawan Marg, Mumbai 4000021, Prabhadevi Branch Office 263 Madhuhans, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Mumbai 400030
7. Manager City Bank Plot No. C- 61 Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East Mumbai 400051
8. Manager I. D. B . I. Bank Ltd. Corporate Banking Group, I. D. B. I. Tower, W. T. C. Complex, Kofi Parade, Mumbai 400005
9. Manager H. D. F. C. Bank Ltd. Sandoz House Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli Mumbai 400018

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate.
 For State : Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. Govt. Advocate.
 For Respondent No. 3 : Shri P.R. Patankar, Advcoate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

27/07/2017

(1) In a revision filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 15.12.2015 passed by Collector of Stamps, Board of Revenue by order dated 08.02.2016, has stayed the execution of the order of Collector of Stamps dated 15.12.2015 and the said interim order has been continued till 05.07.2016.

(2) On 05.07.2016, Board of Revenue has admitted the revision petition for final hearing but declined to extend the stay order on the ground that the case is fixed for final hearing, and ultimately case was heard finally and the petitioner has concluded his arguments on that day. On the request of counsel for the respondents, the matter has been fixed for final arguments of the respondents for 22.08.2017. In the meanwhile, notice of recovery dated 16.06.2017 has been issued against the petitioner, against which instant writ petition has been filed questioning the same.

(3) Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that interim order dated 8.2.2016 granted by Board of Revenue is operating till 5.7.2016 and on that day petitioner has concluded his arguments before the Board of Revenue and even the State sought time and granted to argue the matter finally and in the meanwhile notice of recovery dated 16.06.2017 has been issued and, therefore, appropriate order be passed in favour of the petitioner.

(4) On the other hand, Counsel for the state opposes the writ petition.

(5) I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the order impugned with utmost circumspection.

(6) Fact remains that interim order was operating since the date of admission of the revision petition; though the Board of Revenue had declined to extend the interim relief by order dated 05.07.2016, but thereafter notice of recovery dated 16.06.2017 has been issued against the petitioner; the petitioner has concluded his final arguments on 05.07.2016 and it is the State who has been granted time to make submissions finally and which is now fixed for 22.08.2017.

(7) Be that as it may, the respondents/State is directed to conclude his final arguments on 08.08.2017 / 22.08.2017 and, thereafter, Board of Revenue shall take a decision within a period of one month thereafter.

(8) Since the interim order was also in force from 08.02.2016 till 5.7.2016 and it is the State, who has sought time to make submissions finally and it has been granted, it would be in the interest of justice to direct the Board of Revenue to conclude the hearing expeditiously as stated hereinabove and till the decision is taken by the Board of Revenue, that should not be later than 22nd August, 2017, interim relief granted by the Board of Revenue dated 08.02.2016 shall remain continue.

(9) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-