

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2056 of 2017

- Manoj Kumar Mahle S/o Late Posuram Mahle, Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Amner, Post Chandi Bazaar, Tahsil - Abhanpur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Panchayat, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
4. District Education Officer Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
6. Block Education Officer, Kurud, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
7. Headmaster, Government Primary Girls School, Jee Jamgaon, Block Kurud District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh
8. Headmaster, Government Primary School Sahadapara, Biladi, Block Tilda, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner

Mr. RS Baghel, Advocate

For Respondent /State

Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

1/5/2017

1. Heard.
2. Challenge in this petition is to the relieving order dated 23.10.2015 issued by the Block Education Officer, Kurud, District Dhamtari, whereby, it is alleged that under the garb of rationalization process, the petitioner, who is Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) was relieved for his posting at Raipur.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the similar like nature of petition, the High Court by an order dated 23.12.2016, in bunch of petitions, have quashed the like nature of order, therefore, the petitioner in this case wants to withdraw the instant petition to make representation before the appropriate authority so that similar like nature of orders may be passed.
4. Learned State Counsel do not dispute the same.
5. Considering the fact that the cases of similar nature have already been disposed of wherein law has been laid down by this Court, petitioner is also given liberty.
6. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with a liberty to the petitioner to make representation within a period of one month from today. Respective authority shall decide the same within further period of two months.

Sd/-

Judge
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

