

PNAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 1538 of 2017**

1. Jaggu Ram Marge S/o. Shri P. R. Marge, Aged About 55 Years
Lecturer, Govt. Middle School Gotatola, Distt. Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh.
2. Kanshi Ram Rawte, S/o Shri. J. L. Rawte, Aged About 60 Years
Lecturer, Middle School, Kumhali, District Rajnandgaon
(Chhattisgarh)
3. Rajkumar Gautam S/o Shri S. K. Gautam, Aged About 56 Years
Upper Division Teacher, Middle School Chuhadabri, Distt.
Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
4. Shanker Lal Chandanmalagar, S/o. Shri. K. Chandanmalagar,
Aged About 55 Years Upper Division Teacher, Middle School
Chuhadabri, Distt. Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
5. Bahal Singh Thakur, S/o. Shri. M. Thakur, Aged About 57 Years
Upper Division Teacher, Middle School Chuhadabri, Distt.
Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : The Secretary, School
Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Public Instruction Chhattisgarh, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
3. District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon,
Chhattisgarh.
4. Joint Director, Treasury, Account And Pension, Durg District
Durg (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner
For Respondent /State

Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate
Mr. SP Kale, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board**30/3/2017**

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled for Krammonati as per circular dated 03.10.2008 and 11.01.2010 after completion of 24 years of service. He submits that the issue involved in the present petition has already been considered and decided by this Court in the matter of R.S. Verma Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others (WPS No.2805 of 2002, decided vide order dated 29.08.2006). He further submits that the petitioners may be granted liberty to make representation to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter of State of Tripura and others Vs. K.K. Roy (2004 AIR SCW 1) and the order passed in R.S. Verma (supra), to which, learned counsel for the respondent/State has no objection.
3. Accordingly, the liberty sought for by the petitioners are granted to make a representation before the concerned authority. It is expected from the competent authority to decide the representation of the petitioners as expeditiously as possible in an objective manner preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, subject to verification of complete details.
4. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-

Judge

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Shyna