

①

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**

**W.P. No. 3303 /2005**

**PETITIONERS**

1. H.R. Manhar, aged about 45 years, S/o. Shri Nandlal Manhar, Presently working as Superintendent of Police (Telecommunication), Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
2. Dr. Sanjeev Shukla, aged about 38 years, S/o. Shri P.L. Shukla, Presently working as Additional Superintendent of Police, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
3. T. Ekka, aged about 42 years, S/o. Ramphal Ekka, Presently working as Additional Superintendent of Police, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh
4. Anurag Sharma, aged about 40 years, S/o. Late Dr. A.D. Sharma, Presently working as Additional Superintendent of Police District Kanker, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 3227/05  
Presented by Shri R.K. Sharma  
dated 13/2/05



**RECEIVED-COPY**

13.7.05  
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

5. B.S. Dhruv, aged about 41 years, S/o. Late Shri Garibaram, Presently working as Additional Superintendent of Police, Jashpurnagar, District Jashpurnagar, Chhattisgarh

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

1. State of Chhattisgarh,  
Through: The Secretary,  
Government of Chhattisgarh  
Department of Home Affairs,  
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,  
Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director General of Police,  
Police Headquarters, Raipur,  
Chhattisgarh
3. State of Madhya Pradesh,  
Through: The Secretary,  
Government of Madhya  
Pradesh, Department of  
Home Affairs, Vallabh  
Bhawan, Bhopal (MP)
4. Director General of Police,  
Police Headquarters, Bhopal,  
Madhya Pradesh



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**



9

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WP No. 3303 of 2005**

- H.R.Manhar And Others

---- Petitioner

**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh & Ors.

---- Respondent

---

|                       |   |                                        |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners       | : | Ms. Anubhuti Marhas, Advocate          |
| For Respondents-State | : | Shri Shashank Thakur, GA for the State |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**

**Order On Board**

**19/01/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners pleads no instructions.
2. Since no other counsel is appearing to press this writ petition nor any representation is made, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the writ petition for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

---

**Sd/-  
Prashant Kumar Mishra  
Judge**

Ashu