

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 432 of 2017**

- Ganeshi Bai D/o. Heera Sai, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Village Ulda, Thana & Tehsil Kharsia, Civil & Revenue Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, Civil & Revenue Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Cum Land Acquisition Officer, Competent Authority National Highway Kharsia, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. National Highway Authority Of India, Through Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, National Road Area Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	Mr. Jeet Patel, Advocate
For Respondent /State	Mr. A.S. Kachhwaha, Addl. Adv. General
For Respondent No.3	Mrs. Fouzia Mirza, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****23/2/2017**

1. The petitioner is the owner of the land which has been acquired for construction of National Highway No.49.
2. It is stated that when notice under Section 3C and 3D of the National Highways Act, 1956 (for short 'the Act,

1956') was issued, higher amount of compensation was proposed to be disbursed, however, the amount was subsequently reduced even after passing of the order determining compensation under Section 3G of the Act, 1956. It is argued that once proceeding under Section 3G is complete, the competent authority is not empowered to reduce the amount of compensation.

3. Section 3G of the Act, 1956 provides for determination of amount payable as compensation. The amount of compensation is to be determined by the competent authority after public notice in two local newspapers, one of which will be in vernacular language inviting claims from all persons interested in the land to be acquired. Once the amount of compensation is determined by the competent authority but is not acceptable to either of the parties, the amount shall, on an application by either of the parties be determined by Arbitrator to be appointed by the Central Government.
4. Although it is argued that the amount has been reduced after it was determined under Section 3G (1) & (2) of the Act, 1956, but since a dispute has arisen between the parties as to the actual amount of compensation for which the petitioners are entitled, the issue needs to be dealt with by the appropriate authority.
5. At this stage, learned counsel would place before this Court a notification issued by the Government of India,

Ministry of Road Transport and Highways on 5th September, 2016 which provides that for National Highway No.49 (Old National Highway No.200), Additional Commissioner, Bilaspur Division, has been appointed Arbitrator under Section 3G(5) of the Act, 1956.

6. In view of the above, since the Central Government has already appointed Arbitrator, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction that in the event, the petitioner prefers claim before the Arbitrator i.e. Additional Commissioner, Bilaspur Division, within a period of one month from today, the said Arbitrator shall consider and decide the petitioner's claim/dispute at the earliest preferably within a period of 6 months from the date of presentation.

Sd/-
Judge

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Shyna