

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.657 of 2017**

Smt. Sumitra Bai Sumer W/o Arjundas Sumer, Aged About 28 Years Occupation Labour, R/o Village Kalbirra, Janpad Panchayat Marwahi, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janapd Panchayat, Marwahi, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Project Officer, Women And Child Development, Marwahi, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Smt. Kavita Bai Yadav, W/o Rakesh Yadav, R/o Village Kolbirra, Janpad Panchayat Marwahi, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh).

---Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Lakhan Lal Mahilang, Advocate.
For State	: Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

08/02/2017**(1)** Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that respondent No.2-Collector, Bilaspur by its impugned order dated 30.12.2016 has rejected an appeal of the petitioner, against which the instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner.

(3) Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that against the order of Collector is revisable before the Commissioner of Revenue Division.

(4) Be that as it may, since petitioner has alternative remedy of filing the revision before the Commissioner of Revenue Division, therefore, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. The petitioner is permitted to file revision before the Commissioner of Revenue Division within 30 days. If such revision is filed by the petitioner before the said authority, the authority shall consider and decide the same after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order along with revision.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

(6) The certified copy of the orders impugned be returned to the learned counsel for the petitioner, after furnishing an attested photocopy thereof.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge