

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.533 of 2017**

1. Rakesh Kumar Dhankar S/o Chaitu Ram Dhankar, Aged About 36 Years Presently Posted And Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), Government Primary School, Dadhi, Post Dadhi, Block Bemetara, District Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
2. Smt. Bhuneshwari Sharma W/o Vivek Sharma, Aged About 40 Years Presently Posted And Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), Government Primary School, Otebanth, Sankul Kendra Baijalpur, Block Bemetara, District Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat & Rural Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Director, Directorate Of Panchayat & Rural Development, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Collector, Bemetara, District Bemetara, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Zila Panchayat, Bemetara, Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
5. Janpad Panchayat, Bemetara, Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
6. District Education Officer, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
7. Block Education Officer, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Vivek Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate.

For State : Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board**

01/02/2017

(1) Heard.

(2) The petitioners are aggrieved against the order for promotion on the post of Teacher (Panchayat) under Rule 18(1) (b) of the Panchayat Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1999 (for short “the Rules of 1999”).

(3) The petitioners have remedy to make a representation before respondent No.4 i.e. Zila Panchayat, Bemetara. If such representation is made by the petitioners in accordance with Rule 18(1)(b) of the Rules of 1999 before the said authority, the authority shall consider and decide the same in accordance with Rule 18(1)(b) of the Rules of 1999 preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.

(4) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities may decide the representation on its own merits.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge