

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.480 of 2017**

Joginder Singh S/o Late Shri Prakash Singh, Aged About 68 Years R/o B- 34, Sagar Avenue, Bhopal Bypass Road Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), Presently Resident C- 146, St. 17, Smriti Nagar, Bhilai, District Durg, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. Hindustan Steel Works Construction Limited Through Its Chairman Cum Managing Director, 5/1, Commercial Road, Hastings, Kolkata (West Bengal)
2. Sr. Executive (Personnel), Hindustan Steel Works Construction Limited, Nirman Bhawan, Bhilai, District Durg, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Respondents**

 For Petitioner : Mr. P.R. Patankar, Advocate.
 For Respondents : Mr. N. Naha Roy, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

30/01/2017

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in WPS No.904 of 2002 (R.S. Vishwakarma Vs. Hindustan Steel Works Construction Ltd & Other), decided on 09.11.2016, in which this Court has held as under:-

“5. It is not disputed by Shri Deshmukh that the SLP preferred against the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court has been dismissed by the Supreme Court.

6. In view of the orders passed by this Court in WP No.2 of 2001 and WA No.185 of 2007 and other connected writ appeals, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner shall file a fresh representation before the HSCL claiming all admissible dues including the amount withheld within a period of one month from today. The respondent HSCL shall, thereafter, decide the representation of the petitioner by a speaking order, in an objective manner, and shall pay the entire admissible dues to the petitioner within a further period of two months from the date of submission of representation by the petitioner.

7. It is made clear that if the petitioner feels dissatisfied by rejection of any of his claim he would be at liberty to move afresh before this Court.”

2. In view of above, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of paragraphs 5, 6 & 7 of the order passed in R.S. Vishwakarma (supra). No order as to costs(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge