

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 169 of 2017**

1. Smt. Sumitra Datta W/o Shri Jeevan, aged about 42 years,
 2. Smt. Anita Chakraverty, W/o Late Amar, aged about 46 years,
 3. Smt. Mamta Das W/o Shri Dilip Das, aged about 44 years
 4. Smt. Sandhya Dey W/o Shri Asru Dey, aged about 43 years,
 5. Smt. Astami Rajwanshi, W/o Shri Sudharam Rajwanshi, aged about 50 years,
 6. Smt. Shyama Chakravarti, W/o Shri Nilkamal, aged about 39 years,
 7. Smt. Durga Mandal W/o Shri Haripad, aged about 36 years,
 8. Smt. Shipra Das W/o Shri Ashok Das, aged about 40 years,
 9. Smt. Sapna Viswas W/o Jagdish, aged about 36 years,
 10. Smt. Rina Mandal W/o Harinarayan, aged about 40 years,
- All are R/o Village Mana Camp, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India Through : Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department Of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Block Education Officer, Dharsiwa, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Government Middle School, Mana Camp, Through : The Head Master, Government Middle School, Mana Camp, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Government Primary School, Mana Camp, Through : The Head Master, Government Primary School, Mana Camp, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
7. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr.Sourabh Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.Rajkumar Gupta, Advocate
For Respondents No.2 to 7	:	Ms Ashtha Shukla, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

16/1/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

B/-