

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 1894 of 2015**

1. Ram Prasad Bhagat S/o Bhonda Ram Bhagat, Aged About 34 Years R/o Aditi Consultancy, Tulsipur, Tahsil Rajnandgaon, Rajnandgaon, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Anil Kumar Tamboli, S/o Shri Kishore Kumar, Aged About 35 Years R/o Sardar Patel Ward, Mungeli, Tehsil Mungeli, District Mungeli, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Mahendra Yadav, S/o Late Shyam Dev, Aged About 32 Years R/o Nandhi Road Power House, Bhilai, Tehsil Bhilai, District Durg, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Punit Singh, S/o Shri Shiv Mangal, Aged About 26 Years R/o Civil Line, Satna, Tehsil Satna, District Satna, (Madhya Pradesh)
5. Koushik Pandey, S/o Late Bhagwan Pandey, Aged About 34 Years R/o Narayanpur, Tehsil Narayanpur, District Narayanpur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Veterinary Services, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Veterinary Services, Directorate Veterinary Services Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through The Secretary, Veterinary Services, Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, District Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh)
4. M.P. Rajya Pashu Dhan Avam Kukkut Vikash Nigam, Through Managing Director, New Bioler Bhawan, Main Road No.3, Kotra Sultana Baag Road, Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Anup Majumdar, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Shri Ramakant Mishra, Dy. AG for the State
For Respondent No.4	:	Shri Ravindra Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Shri Rahul Tamaskar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board**

11/01/2017

1. **I.A. No.5** is an application for issuance of appropriate directions for returning the fee deposited by the members of the erstwhile Madhya Pradesh Rajya Pashudhan Evam Kukkut Vikash Nigam (for short 'the Nigam').
2. The nature of direction sought for in I.A. No.5 is not a prayer made in the writ petition, therefore, this Court cannot issue a direction which was not part of the main writ petition.
3. At this stage learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to pursue the representation pending before the Nigam for return of the fee amount which the members have deposited during the period when the Nigam was functioning in the areas falling in the present State of Chhattisgarh.
4. Prayer for withdrawal of the writ petition is allowed, subject, however, to the above liberty in favour of the petitioner.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Ashu