NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 122 of 2017

1. Smt. Prembai W/o Shri Raj Kumar, Aged About 45 Years
2. Smt. Malti, W/o Shri Govind, Aged About 42 Years
3. Smt. Savitri Manikpuri, W/o Shri Poshan Das, Aged About 31 Years
4. Smt. Kanti Ratre, W/o Shri Kaushal, Aged About 51 Years R/o Village Khilora, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
5. Smt. Sadhana Bai Gendre, W/o Shri Ishwar, Aged About 30 Years

   All are R/o Village Khilora, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India Through: Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department Of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Block Education Officer, Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Government Middle School, Khilora, Through: The Head Master, Government Middle School, Khilora, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
6. Government Primary School, Khilora, Through : The Head Master, Government Primary School, Khilora, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
7. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department Of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr.T.K.Nande, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.Rajkumar Gupta & Mr.Bhupendra Singh, Advocates
For Respondents No.2 to 7	:	Ms Ashtha Shukla, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

12/1/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.

4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

B/-