

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.104 of 2017**

1. Smt. Phool Bai Netam, W/o Shri Nand Kumar, aged about 51 years,
2. Smt. Prema Bai Yadav, W/o Shri Dwarika, aged about 55 years,
3. Smt. Kevra Yadav, W/o Shir Rekhrum, aged about 46 years,
4. Smt. Anita Mandavi, W/o Shri Bhuvan, aged about 38 years,
5. Smt. Rukhmani Yadav, W/o Shri Lakshman, aged about 25 years,
6. Smt. Shakun Bai Yadav, W/o Shri Hari Ram, aged about 56 years,
7. Smt. Rajvanteen Mandavi, W/o Shri Nand Kumar Mandavi, aged about 48 years,

All are R/o Village Chhachhanpari, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)

--- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union of India, through : Secretary, Ministry of Human Resources Development Department of School Education and Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State of Chhattisgarh Through: the Secretary, Department of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
5. Government Middle School, Chhachhanpari, Through : The Head Master, Government Middle School, Chhachhanpari, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
6. Government Primary School, Chhachhanpari, Through : The Head Master, Government Primary School, Chhachhanpari, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
7. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.R.K. Kesharwani, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 2 to 7	:	Mr. Prasun Bhaduri, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

11/1/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

D/-