

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 125 of 2017**

1. Smt. Birij Bai Sinha W/o Shri Nathu Ram Sinha, Aged About 40 Years
 2. Smt. Indrani Bai, W/o Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Aged About 36 Years
 3. Smt. Fagni Sahu, W/o Shri Ramlal, Aged About 55 Years
 4. Smt. Manki Bai, W/o Shri Hiradhan, Aged About 55 Years
 5. Smt. Meena Bai Sahu, W/o Shri Fulsingh Sahu, Aged About 40 Years
 6. Smt. Dageshwari, W/o Arun Kumar Sahu, Aged About 35 Years
- All are R/o Village Jamgaon, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India Through: Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resources Development, Department Of School Education And Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department Of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Block Education Officer, Abhanpur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Government Middle School, Jamgaon, Through: The Head Master, Government Middle School, Jamgaon, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
6. Government Primary School, Jamgaon, Through: The Head Master, Government Primary School, Jamgaon, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
7. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department Of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr.T.K.Nande, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.Rajkumar Gupta & Mr.Bhupendra Singh, Advocates
For Respondents No.2 to 7	:	Ms Ashtha Shukla, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

12/1/2017

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

B/-