

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.100 of 2017**

1. Smt. Ganeshwari Sahu, W/o Shri Omprakash, aged about 24 years,
 2. Smt. Ishwari Yadav, W/o Shri Deman, aged about 33 years,
 3. Ravi Kumar, S/o Shri Narayan Prasad, aged about 20 years,
 4. Smt. Savana Bai, W/o Shri Radhelal, aged about 46 years,
 5. Smt. Bhuneshwari Yadav, W/o Shri Mohan, aged about 28 years,
 6. Smt. Tarin Yadav, W/o Shri Naresh Kumar, aged about 30 years,
- All are R/o Village Julum, Block Abhanpur, District Raiur (C.G.)

--- Petitioners

Versus

1. Union of India, through : Secretary, Ministry of Human Resources Development Department of School Education and Literacy, Mid Day Meal Division, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi
2. State of Chhattisgarh Through: the Secretary, Department of Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Directorate, School Education, Through : The Director, School Education, Shiksha Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
5. Government Middle School, Julum, Through: The Head Master, Government Middle School, Julum, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
6. Government Primary School, Julum, Through : The Head Master, Government Primary School, Julum, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.)
7. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department of Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.R.K. Kesharwani, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 2 to 7	:	Mr. Prasun Bhaduri, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****11/1/2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical nature of writ

petition has already been decided by this Court. One such writ petition is WP(S) No.6430 of 2016, which was decided on 25.11.2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that nature of relief sought for by the petitioners is similar to WP(S) No.6430 of 2016.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of. The petitioners are directed to make the representations before respondent No.2, who in turn, shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Jagjit Singh & Ors.**, decided on 26th October, 2016 and shall pass an appropriate speaking order on its own merit as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representations.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

D/-