

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3392 of 2017**

Murli Manohar Dansena S/o Shri Chaitram Dansena, Aged About 48 Years Posted As Lecturer (Panchayat), Government Higher Secondary School, Pusalda, Tahsil Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

...**Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. K.K. Pandey, Advocate
For State-respondent	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****27/07/2017**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) since last 10 years, neither he has been given *Kramonnati* nor he has been given *Samayman Vetanman* (time bound pay scale) and therefore, he may be permitted to file representation before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance. To this, counsel for the State has no objection.
2. Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off. In the eventuality of making any representation by the petitioner highlighting his

grievance, the same is expected to be decided by the authority concerned expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

J U D G E